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#### Abstract

A new type of wheel-leg hybrid quadruped robot is proposed, and it has four 6 -DOF mechanical legs based on the 3-UPS parallel mechanism. The statics performance analysis and optimal design of the mechanical leg are done. First, using the vector chain method, the transmission model of the 3-UPS parallel mechanism is established, and the statics transmission equation is established. Also, a set of statics performance evaluation indexes is defined, and the statics performance of the leg mechanism is analyzed. Then, based on the statics performance evaluation indexes, the optimal design of structural parameters is done by Monte Carlo method. By establishing the probability space model of each structure parameter, an excellent set of structural parameters is found. Finally, using dynamic simulation software, the statics simulation analysis of the mechanical leg is done. These prove that the statics analysis and the structural parameters of the mechanical leg are very reasonable.
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1. Introduction. The wheel-leg hybrid quadruped robot has the advantages of both wheeled robot and legged robot. The common wheeled hybrid robot usually uses three configurations: first, Rolling-Wolf robot which is used for interstellar exploration [1]; second, wheel-leg hybrid robot with powered wheel mounted at the end of the mechanical leg [2]; third, the robot can skate with unpowered wheel mounted at the end of the mechanical leg [3]. If the wheeled mobile, step walking and skating skiing can be implemented on one robot, the robot's adaptability of ground pass will be greatly enhanced. Parallel mechanisms can achieve high speed and high acceleration movement, and their structures are compact [4]. Therefore, the parallel mechanisms are suitable for the mechanical legs of wheel-leg hybrid quadruped robot. The existing parallel mechanical legs mainly include: the WL-16 robot of Waseda University, Para-walker robot and NINJA robot of Tokyo Institute of Technology, elephant robot and octopus robot of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. Static analysis is very important to the robot's structure design [5-11]. Static analysis can avoid the stress concentration in the process of structural design. In this paper, a new kind of wheel-leg hybrid quadruped robot and its mechanical leg are proposed. The static modeling and static bearing capacity evaluation of the mechanical leg are carried out by using the vector loop method. Structural parameters optimization design of the
mechanical leg is done by Monte Carlo method. An excellent set of structural parameters is found.
2. Wheel-Leg Hybrid Quadruped Robot and Parallel Mechanical Leg. The wheel-leg hybrid quadruped robot is shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(b). It is composed of one trunk and four 6 -DOF mechanical legs. It can move by wheeled mobile, step walking and skating skiing in different environments.

The parallel mechanical leg is shown in Figure 1(c), and its mechanism prototype is 3-UPS parallel mechanism. Here, the letter U is on behalf of universal joint; the letter P is on behalf of prismatic joint; the letter S is on behalf of spherical joint. The 3-UPS parallel mechanism has a fixed platform, a moving platform, and three UPS branches. One end of each UPS branch is connected to the fixed platform through universal joint, and the other end is connected to the foot platform through spherical joint. Therefore, the fixed platform has three joint points, and the layout of the three points is an isosceles right triangle. Based on screw theory [11], the moving platform of 3-UPS parallel mechanism has 6 DOF. The 3 moving joints of each branch are selected as driving joints, and the 3 revolute joints which are close to the fixed platform of each universal joint are selected as driving joints too.
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Figure 1. Structure of the robot and mechanical leg

## 3. Kinematics Modeling of the Mechanical Leg.

3.1. Coordinate system definition and attitude description. The vector diagrams of 3-UPS parallel mechanism are shown in Figure 2. In order to facilitate modeling, $A_{i}$ ( $i=1,2,3$ ) represents center of universal joint which connected to the fixed platform; $B_{i}$ ( $i=1,2,3$ ) represents center of spherical joint which connected to the moving platform; $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are placed at the right angle vertex points of the isosceles right triangles. Define structural parameters of fixed platform $A_{1} A_{2}=A_{1} A_{3}=a$ and structural parameters of moving platform $B_{1} B_{2}=B_{1} B_{3}=b$; define the length of each branch $A_{i} B_{i}=l_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. $A_{1}-x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ is defined as the global coordinate frame of the mechanism, $x_{1}$ axis and the $A_{1}$ universal joint's rotation axis which is close to the fixed platform are coincidence, $z_{1}$ axis is perpendicular to the plane of $A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}$, and the direction of $y_{1}$ axis is in line with the right-hand rule. $A_{i}-x_{i} y_{i} z_{i}(i=2,3)$ is defined as the auxiliary coordinate frame, $x_{i}$ axis and the $A_{i}$ universal joint's rotation axis which is close to the fixed platform are coincidence, $z_{i}$ axis is parallel to $z_{1}$ axis, and the direction of $y_{i}$ axis is in line with the right-hand rule. $B_{1}-u p w$ is defined as the moving platform's local coordinate frame, $w$ axis is perpendicular to the plane of $B_{1} B_{2} B_{3}, u$ axis and the line $B_{1} B_{2}$ are coincidence,


Figure 2. Sketch map of mechanical leg's 3-UPS mechanism
and $p$ axis is in line with the right-hand rule. In order to describe the attitude of each branch, $A_{i}-u_{i} p_{i} w_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ is defined as the local coordinate frame of each branch, $w_{i}$ axis and the line $A_{i} B_{i}$ are coincidence, $p_{i}$ axis and the $A_{i}$ universal joint's rotation axis which is far away from the fixed platform are coincidence, and $u_{i}$ axis is in line with the right-hand rule. So, the attitude of each branch's local coordinate frame $A_{i}-u_{i} p_{i} w_{i}$ $(i=1,2,3)$ relative to coordinate frame $A_{i}-x_{i} y_{i} z_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ can be obtained through 2 rotations: first, rotate $\psi_{i}$ degrees around the $x_{i}$ axis; second, rotate $\theta_{i}$ degrees around the $p_{i}$ axis. The initial position of the moving platform is defined as: the moving platform is parallel to the fixed platform, and the distance between the two platforms is located in the middle of the total variation range $h$.

In order to facilitate the analysis, define primary structure parameters: $a=230 \mathrm{~mm}$, $b=70 \mathrm{~mm}, l_{i} \in(550,950) \mathrm{mm}(i=1,2,3), h=750 \mathrm{~mm}$. The rotation matrix of the local coordinate $A_{i}-u_{i} p_{i} w_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ is constructed:

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{i}=\operatorname{Rot}\left(x_{i}, \psi_{i}\right) \operatorname{Rot}\left(p_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{u}_{i} & \boldsymbol{p}_{i} & \boldsymbol{w}_{i} \tag{1}
\end{array}\right], \quad(i=1,2,3)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}_{i}, \boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ are the unit principal vectors of $u_{i}, p_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ in $A_{1}-x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$.
So, $\psi_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ is the drive input angle of each universal joint's motor. $B_{1}$ is selected as the reference point of the moving platform. The attitude description of moving coordinate $B_{1}-u p w$ in $A_{1}-x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ is:

$$
\boldsymbol{R}=\operatorname{Rot}\left(z_{1}, \beta\right) \operatorname{Rot}\left(y_{1}, \beta\right) \operatorname{Rot}\left(x_{1}, \gamma\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\boldsymbol{u} & \boldsymbol{p} & \boldsymbol{w} \tag{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ are the unit principal vectors of $u, p$ and $w$ in $A_{1}-x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$.
3.2. The solution of Jacobi matrix. The closed loop vector constraint equation is established in $A_{1}-x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{l}_{1}=l_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}  \tag{3}\\
\boldsymbol{l}_{1}=\boldsymbol{a}_{i}+l_{i} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}-\boldsymbol{b}_{i}, \quad(i=2,3) \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $l_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are the length and unit principal vector of branch $i, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}$ is the vector of $A_{1} A_{2}, \boldsymbol{a}_{3}$ is the vector of $A_{1} A_{3}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}$ is the vector of $B_{1} B_{2}$, and $\boldsymbol{b}_{3}$ is the vector of $B_{1} B_{3}$.

By solving derivative of Formulas (3) and (4), we can obtain:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{v}=\dot{l}_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}+l_{1} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{w}_{1}  \tag{5}\\
\boldsymbol{v}=\dot{l}_{i} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}+l_{i} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{w}_{i}-\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{i}, \quad(i=2,3) \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}$ is the linear velocity of $B_{1}, \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the angular velocity vector of moving platform, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}$ and $\dot{l}_{i}$ are the angular velocity and the moving joint's velocity of branch $i(i=1,2,3)$.

According to vector operations, $\boldsymbol{w}_{i} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)=0,(i=1,2,3)$. So, by doing dot product $\boldsymbol{w}_{1}$ on both sides of Formula (5), and doing dot product $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}(i=2,3)$ on both sides of Formula (6), we can obtain:

$$
\begin{gather*}
i_{1}=\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}  \tag{7}\\
\boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}=\dot{l}_{i}-\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad(i=2,3) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

By writing Formulas (7) and (8) in matrix form, we can obtain the relational model between $\boldsymbol{i}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}i_{1} & i_{2} & i_{3}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
i_{1}  \tag{9}\\
i_{2} \\
i_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \\
\boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} & \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{w}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} & \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{w}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{v} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By writing Formula (9) in vector:

$$
\boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{J}_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{10}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{i}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}i_{1} & i_{2} & i_{3}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the input velocity of moving joints, $\boldsymbol{J}_{1}$ is the mobile driven Jacobi matrix, $\boldsymbol{J}_{1} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3 \times 6}$.

According to angular velocity superposition principle, we can obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}=\dot{\psi}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\dot{\theta}_{i} \boldsymbol{p}_{i}, \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}$ is the overall angular velocity of branch $i(i=1,2,3), \boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ are the unit principal vectors of $x_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ in $A_{1}-x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$.

According to vector operations, $\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i}=0,(i=1,2,3)$. So, by doing cross product $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ ( $i=1,2,3$ ) on both sides of Formula (11), we can obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}=\dot{\psi}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right), \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to vector operations, $\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{w}_{i}=0,(i=1,2,3)$. So, by doing dot product $\boldsymbol{p}_{1}$ on both sides of Formula (5), and doing dot product $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ on both sides of Formula (6), we can obtain:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}=l_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}\right)  \tag{13}\\
\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}=l_{i} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad(i=2,3) \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

By putting $\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ into Formulas (13) and (14), we can obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}=\dot{\psi}_{1} l_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}=\dot{\psi}_{i} l_{i} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad(i=2,3) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

By writing Formulas (15) and (16) in matrix form, we can obtain the relational model between $\dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\dot{\psi}_{1} & \dot{\psi}_{2} & \dot{\psi}_{3}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\psi}_{1}  \tag{17}\\
\dot{\psi}_{2} \\
\dot{\psi}_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}}{l_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right)} & 0 \\
\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}}{l_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)} & \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}}{l_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)} \\
\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}}{l_{3} \boldsymbol{w}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{3}\right)} & \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}}{l_{3} \boldsymbol{w}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{x}_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{v} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega}
\end{array}\right]
$$

By writing Formula (17) in vector:

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}}=\boldsymbol{J}_{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{18}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

where $\dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\dot{\psi}_{1} & \dot{\psi}_{2} & \dot{\psi}_{3}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the input angular velocity of universal joints, $\boldsymbol{J}_{2}$ is the rotary driven Jacobi matrix, $\boldsymbol{J}_{2} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3 \times 6}$.

By finishing Formulas (10)-(18), the velocity mapping relation of 3-UPS mechanism can be obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{V} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{J}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{J}_{1} & \boldsymbol{J}_{2}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is Jacobi matrix, $\boldsymbol{J} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{6 \times 6}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\boldsymbol{i} & \dot{\psi}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is input velocity vector, $\boldsymbol{V}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{v} & \boldsymbol{\omega}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is generalized velocity vector of moving platform.

## 4. Static Performance Analysis of the Mechanical Leg.

4.1. Static transfer equation. The output generalized force vector of the moving platform is defined as $\boldsymbol{F}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$, and the driving force of the driving joints is defined as $\boldsymbol{\tau}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}\tau_{1} & \tau_{2} & \tau_{3} & \tau_{4} & \tau_{5} & \tau_{6}\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$. According to the principle of virtual work [11], the sum of the input virtual work of all driving motion joints of the mechanism is equal to the sum of the virtual work of the generalized force of the moving platform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{V} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{i}$ is the virtual displacement of driving motion joints, $\boldsymbol{V}$ is the virtual displacement of moving platform.

By putting Formula (19) into Formula (20), we can obtain the static transfer equilibrium equation of mechanical leg:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F}=\boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{G}=\boldsymbol{J}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the force Jacobi matrix, $\boldsymbol{G} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{6 \times 6}$.
4.2. Static performance evaluation. In $\boldsymbol{G}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{array}\right]$, $\boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the force transfer sub-matrix, $\boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is transfer sub-matrix, so:

$$
\boldsymbol{F}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{M}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{22}
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{\tau}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{\mathrm{T}} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3 \times 6}, \boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3 \times 6}$.
Norm of Formula (22), we can obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{F}\|^{2}=\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{F} \boldsymbol{\tau} ; \quad\|\boldsymbol{M}\|^{2}=\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{M} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the input value is a unit vector, and put Lagrange operator into Formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{L}_{F}=\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{F} \boldsymbol{\tau}-\lambda_{F}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\tau}-1\right) ; \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{M}=\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{M} \boldsymbol{\tau}-\lambda_{M}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\tau}-1\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extreme values of $\boldsymbol{L}_{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}_{M}$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{L}_{F}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}=0: \boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{T} \boldsymbol{G}_{F} \boldsymbol{\tau}-\lambda_{F} \boldsymbol{\tau}=0 ; \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{L}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}=0: \boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{T} \boldsymbol{G}_{M} \boldsymbol{\tau}-\lambda_{M} \boldsymbol{\tau}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{F}$ and $\lambda_{M}$ are the characteristic values of $\boldsymbol{G}_{F}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{G}_{M}$.
The extreme values of moving platform's output force and torque are:

$$
\begin{cases}\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\max }=\sqrt{\lambda_{F \max }}, & \|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\min }=\sqrt{\lambda_{F \min }}  \tag{26}\\ \|\boldsymbol{M}\|_{\max }=\sqrt{\lambda_{M \max }}, & \|\boldsymbol{M}\|_{\min }=\sqrt{\lambda_{M \min }}\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda_{F \max }, \lambda_{F \min }, \lambda_{M \text { max }}, \lambda_{M \text { min }}$ are maximum and minimum singular values of $\boldsymbol{G}_{F}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_{M}$.

So, $\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\text {max }},\|\boldsymbol{F}\|_{\min },\|\boldsymbol{M}\|_{\max },\|\boldsymbol{M}\|_{\text {min }}$ are the maximum and minimum output force and torque values of moving platform. Define a set of static performance evaluation indexes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{F}=\|F\|_{\max } ; \quad \eta_{M}=\|M\|_{\max } \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the values of $\eta_{F}$ and $\eta_{M}$ are larger, the statics performance of the mechanical leg will be better. By using the mathematical software MATLAB to calculate the evaluation


Figure 3. Distribution of static load carrying evaluation index
indexes of $\eta_{F}$ and $\eta_{M}$, the distribution of static load carrying evaluation indexes in the workspace are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3 shows, the static performance is better in the central area of the work space. We define another set of static performance evaluation indexes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\eta}_{F}=\frac{\int_{V} \eta_{F} d V}{V} ; \quad \bar{\eta}_{M}=\frac{\int_{V} \eta_{M} d V}{V} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\eta}_{F}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{M}$ are the global average values of $\eta_{F}$ and $\eta_{M}$.
4.3. Analysis of the relationship between static bearing capacity and structural parameters. In order to find a set of structural parameters with good static performance, the relationship between the static bearing capacity and its structural parameters is analyzed. $a$ and $b$ are selected as the target object, and the value curves of $\eta_{F}, \eta_{M}, \bar{\eta}_{F}, \bar{\eta}_{M}$ are drawn shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4 shows, the values of $\eta_{F}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{F}$ increase with the increase of $a$, the values of $\eta_{F}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{F}$ decrease with the increase of $b, \eta_{M}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{M}$ increase with the increase of $a, \eta_{M}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{M}$ decrease with the increase of $b$.

## 5. Static Optimization and Simulation of Structural Parameters.

5.1. Structural parameter optimization. By using Monte Carlo method based on evaluation index, the range of the main structural parameters: $a \in(100,350) \mathrm{mm}$, $b \in(20,120) \mathrm{mm}$. By taking the intermediate value of each performance index as the probability model: $\eta_{F}=1.8, \bar{\eta}_{F}=1.9, \eta_{M}=2.4, \bar{\eta}_{M}=2.2$. Using the statistical probability function of MATLAB, the distributions of sampling values of each structural parameter are calculated shown in Figure 5.

By analyzing Figure 5, a set of structural parameter values considering various aspects of performance is determined given in Table 1.
5.2. Static simulation. In order to verify the rationality of structural parameters in Table 1, the Adams software is used to calculate the output forces of the moving platform when the different driving force/torque are input, and the simulation values are compared with the theoretical values given in Table 2.

Through the static loading in the simulation software, the simulation values are obtained as comparison value. So as Table 2 shows, the moving platform's output deviation generalized forces between the theoretical values and the simulation values are all less than 5 N ; and the moving platform's output deviation generalized torques between the


Note: $a$ is the fixed platform's structure parameter, $b$ is the moving platform's structure parameter, $\eta_{F}, \bar{\eta}_{F}$ and $\eta_{M}, \bar{\eta}_{M}$ are statics evaluation indexes.

Figure 4. Relationship curves of structure parameters and statics evaluation indexes $\eta_{F}, \bar{\eta}_{F}, \eta_{M}, \bar{\eta}_{M}$


Figure 5. Probability distribution of structure parameters
Table 1. Structure parameters of mechanical leg (mm)

| Name | Size/Model |
| :---: | :---: |
| structural parameter $a$ of the fixed platform | 200 |
| structural parameter $b$ of the moving platform | 80 |
| ball screw's length $\times$ diameter $\times$ wall thickness | $400 \times 10 \times 14$ |
| cylinder's length $\times$ diameter $\times$ wall thickness | $500 \times 50 \times 5$ |
| moving rod's length $\times$ diameter $\times$ wall thickness | $500 \times 30 \times 3$ |

Table 2. Comparison of simulation and theoretical statics data

|  | Driving force ( N ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Driving } \\ \text { torque }(\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Theoretical generalized force ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ ) | Simulation generalized force ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (130 110 120) | (0.6 0.7 0.7) | (271 1373130.130 .150 .11 ) | (265 3083090.110 .130 .10$)$ |
| 2 | (130 120 130) | (0.6 0.50 .7 ) | (243 1182870.170 .130 .15 ) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}238 \\ 115 \\ 283 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.10\end{array} 0.13\right)$ |
| 3 | (110 130 120) | (0.7 0.80 .8 0.6) | $(2571432970.150 .110 .13)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}2531382930.13 ~\end{array} 1.100 .11\right)$ |
| 4 | (110 120 110) | (0.5 0.7 0.8) | (261 1332860.180 .17 0.16) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}257 \\ 130\end{array} 2810.150 .160 .13\right)$ |
|  | (120 130 120) | (0.7 0.50 .6 ) | (277 1233070.160 .130 .12 ) | $(2721183010.130 .110 .11)$ |
|  | (120 110 110) | (0.8 0.7 0.6) | (231 1122730.130 .110 .14 ) | $(2271092700.110 .100 .13)$ |
|  | (130 120 120) | (0.8 0.50 .7 ) | (281 1433090.170 .160 .18$)$ | $(2791373030.140 .150 .16)$ |
|  | (130 110 110) | (0.8 0.6 0.7) | (277 136 2980.160 .140 .15 ) | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}273 & 132\end{array} 2940.150 .120 .13\right)$ |

theoretical values and the simulation values are all less than $0.03 \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~m}$. These results verify the correctness of the mechanical leg's static model established in this paper.

## 6. Conclusions.

(1) A wheel-leg hybrid quadruped robot and its 6 -DOF parallel mechanical leg based on 3-UPS parallel mechanism are presented. The static transfer model of mechanical leg is established, and the distribution of the static bearing capacity evaluation index in the workspace is drawn based on the static model.
(2) Based on the static model, a set of static performance evaluation indexes is defined; the relationship between the structural parameters and the evaluation indexes of the static performance is analyzed. The influence of structural parameters on the static bearing capacity of mechanical legs is revealed.
(3) Considering the static performance evaluation index, Monte Carlo method is used to establish the probability model space of each structural parameter. Calculation shows, when the structural parameters are $a=200 \mathrm{~mm}, b=80 \mathrm{~mm}$, the mechanical load of the mechanical leg is the best. Based on the structural parameters, the static simulation analysis of the mechanical leg shows, the force/torque values of the mechanical leg's different output generalized forces are within a reasonable range.
(4) On the basis of static analysis of parallel mechanical leg, the prototype of the mechanical leg will be manufactured. Then, the walking test of the wheel-leg hybrid quadruped robot will be carried out.
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